Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/032,978	BRANDENBURG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee	1714	

All Participants:

(1) *Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee*.

(2) *Mrs. Barbara Siegel*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *26 September 2003*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1-45

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner contacted applicant's representative with restriction requirements as depicted in the office action. The applicant's representative chose to prosecute with traverse claims 1,3,5-12,14,16-18,20,22-24,26,28-30,32,34-36,38,40-45 of GROUP .I

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)